T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

DATE:	<u>12-Jan-05</u>	APPL. S.N.:	09/936,916	
TO: EXAMÎ	INER LOPEZ, CARLOS N	ART UNIT:	<u>1731</u>	
FROM:	Jefferson, Henry			Case Drop-Off Locatio
	PARALEGAL SPECIALIST	RETUR	RETURN THIS MEMO TO:	
SUBJECT:	Decision on Terminal Disclaimer (T.D.) filed: 27-D	<u>ec-04</u>		
paragraj please s APPLIC	UCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as sembles in your next Office action to not make the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, EANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE to me. THANK YOU.	INTERNAL MEMO ONLY.	IT MUST NOT BE (1)	MAILED TO
The T	T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see ¶14.23).			
The 7	T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) check			
	The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account (see \ 14.26.07).			
	The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see ¶¶ 14.26 & 14.26.01).			
	The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see ¶ 14.27.01).			
	The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see $\P \P 14.26 \& 14.26.02$).			
	The person who signed the T.D.:			
	is not an attorney "of record" (see ¶¶ 14.29 and 14.29.01).			
	has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see ¶ 14.28).			
	is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see ¶¶ 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).			
	No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see ¶ 14.30).			
	The T.D. is not signed (see \P ¶ 14.26 & 14.26.03).	•		
	The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see § 14.32).			
	The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see ¶¶ 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).			
	The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see ¶¶14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).			
	Other:			
	Suggestion to request refund (see ¶ 14.36). NOTE: If already authori	zed, credit refund to deposit acc	count and do not check thi	s item.
I have appr	ropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer t	iled in this case.		
Ex. Initials	s: Date:		Log [Date:
Special Pro	ogram Database, Version 2.1 (Rev. 5/98)	Routing Slip Printed	On: Wednesday, Jan	uary 12, 2005 12:23:42 PM